

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

SHAWN R. HILL

Petitioner,

v.

TAMMY FERGUSON, *et al.*

Respondents.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 17-1076

ORDER

AND NOW, this 3rd day of September 2019, upon careful and independent consideration of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, and all related filings, and upon review of the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of United States Magistrate Elizabeth T. Hey, and for the reasons stated in the separate Order approving and adopting the R&R, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** and without an evidentiary hearing;
2. There is no probable cause to issue a certificate of appealability¹; and
3. The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** the case.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFE, J.

¹ There is no basis for concluding that “reasonable jurists could debate whether . . . the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (internal citation omitted).